



On Multiplicative Matrix Channels over Finite Chain Rings

Roberto W. Nóbrega, Chen Feng, Danilo Silva, Bartolomeu F. Uchôa-Filho

2013 IEEE International Symposium on Network Coding

June 7, 2013, Calgary, Alberta



INTRODUCTION

Let R be a ring. A *multiplicative matrix channel* (MMC) over R is a communication channel in which the input $\mathbf{X} \in R^{n \times \ell}$ and the output $\mathbf{Y} \in R^{m \times \ell}$ are related by

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X},$$

where $\mathbf{A} \in R^{m \times n}$ is called the *transfer matrix*.

≈

MMCs turn out to be suitable models for the end-to-end channel between a source node and a sink node in wireless networks employing compute-and-forward over a generic nested lattice [1]. In this context, \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are matrices whose rows are the n transmitted packets and m received packets, respectively, and \mathbf{A} is a matrix whose entries are determined by the random choices of the network coding coefficients. Most importantly, the underlying ring R is not necessarily a finite field, but a finite *principal ideal ring* (PIR), with the packets belonging to some finite R -module.

≈

Since every finite PIR is a product of finite chain rings, it is natural to consider the study of *MMCs over finite chain rings*. In this work, we assume *channel side information at the receiver* (CSIR), that is, we assume that the instances of the transfer matrix \mathbf{A} are unknown to the transmitter, but available at the receiver. Our results [2] extend (and make use of) some of those in [3]. A related work is [4].

FINITE CHAIN RINGS

► Definition and notation

A *chain ring* is a ring in which the ideals are linearly ordered under subset inclusion (\subseteq).

R	a finite chain ring
π	any generator for the maximal ideal of R
s	the nilpotency index of π
q	the order of the residue field $R/\langle \pi \rangle$
Γ	any set of coset representatives for $R/\langle \pi \rangle$

► The ideals of R

R has precisely $s + 1$ ideals, namely,

$$R = \langle 1 \rangle \supset \langle \pi \rangle \supset \langle \pi^2 \rangle \supset \dots \supset \langle \pi^{s-1} \rangle \supset \langle \pi^s \rangle = \{0\}.$$

► The π -adic decomposition

Every element $x \in R$ can be written *uniquely* as

$$x = x^{(0)} + x^{(1)}\pi + x^{(2)}\pi^2 + \dots + x^{(s-1)}\pi^{s-1},$$

where $x^{(i)} \in \Gamma$.

MODULES AND MATRICES OVER CHAIN RINGS

► Definitions

An *s-shape* $\mu = (\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{s-1})$ is a non-decreasing sequence of s non-negative integers. We define

$$R^\mu \triangleq \underbrace{\langle 1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle 1 \rangle}_{\mu_0} \times \underbrace{\langle \pi \rangle \times \dots \times \langle \pi \rangle}_{\mu_1 - \mu_0} \times \dots \times \underbrace{\langle \pi^{s-1} \rangle \times \dots \times \langle \pi^{s-1} \rangle}_{\mu_{s-1} - \mu_{s-2}},$$

which is an R -module.

► Structure theorem for finite R -modules

If M is a finite R -module, then

$$M \cong R^\mu$$

for some *unique s-shape* μ . We write $\mu = \text{shape } M$. *The shape of an R -module generalizes the concept of dimension of a vector space.*

► The shape of a matrix

The shape of a matrix A is defined as

$$\text{shape } A = \text{shape}(\text{row } A) = \text{shape}(\text{col } A),$$

where $\text{row } A$ and $\text{col } A$ are the row and column spaces of A , respectively. *The shape of a matrix generalizes the concept of rank.*

► The Smith normal form

Two matrices $A, B \in R^{m \times n}$ are *equivalent* if $A = PBQ$ for some invertible matrices P and Q . If $\text{shape } A = \rho$, then $A \in R^{m \times n}$ is equivalent to

$$\text{diag}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{\rho_0}, \underbrace{\pi, \dots, \pi}_{\rho_1 - \rho_0}, \dots, \underbrace{\pi^{s-1}, \dots, \pi^{s-1}}_{\rho_{s-1} - \rho_{s-2}}) \in R^{m \times n},$$

which is called the *Smith normal form* of A .

► Matrices with row constraints

Let n and ℓ be positive integers, and let λ be an s -shape with $\lambda_{s-1} = \ell$. The subset of matrices in $R^{n \times \ell}$ whose rows belong to R^λ is denoted by $R^{n \times \lambda}$.

CHANNEL MODEL

Let the following be given.

n	an integer (number of transmitted packets)
m	an integer (number of received packets)
λ	an s -shape (shape of packet space)
ρ_A	a probability distribution over $R^{m \times n}$

Define $\text{MMC}_{\text{CSIR}}(\mathbf{A}, \lambda)$ as a DMC with input $\mathbf{X} \in R^{n \times \lambda}$, output $(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{A}) \in R^{m \times \lambda} \times R^{m \times n}$, and transition probability

$$p_{\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{X}) = \begin{cases} \rho_A(\mathbf{A}), & \text{if } \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

CHANNEL CAPACITY

Theorem: The capacity of $\text{MMC}_{\text{CSIR}}(\mathbf{A}, \lambda)$ is given by

$$C = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} E[\rho_{s-i-1}] \lambda_i,$$

where $\rho = \text{shape } \mathbf{A}$.

CODING SCHEME

Before we begin, define the following.

$\mathbb{F}_q = R/\langle \pi \rangle$	the residue field
$\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$	the natural projection map
$\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \Gamma$	the coset representative selector
$x^i \in R$	$x^i = x^{(0)} + x^{(1)}\pi + \dots + x^{(i-1)}\pi^{i-1}$

Layered approach: Combine s codes over the residue field to obtain a code over the chain ring.

► Codebook \mathcal{C}

Let $\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{s-1}$ be a sequence of matrix codes over the residue field, where $\mathcal{C}_i \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times \lambda_i}$. We define

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} X^{(i)} \pi^i : X_i \in \mathcal{C}_i, 0 \leq i < s \right\},$$

where $X^{(i)} = [\tilde{\varphi}(X_i) \ 0] \in \Gamma^{n \times \ell}$.

► Multistage decoding algorithm

Input: $(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{A}) \in R^{m \times \lambda} \times R^{m \times n}$, with $\text{shape } \mathbf{A} \triangleq \rho$.

Output: $X \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$.

Step 1: Compute P, D, Q such that $\mathbf{A} = PDQ$, where D is the Smith normal form of \mathbf{A} , and P, Q are invertible.

Step 2: Set $\tilde{X} \triangleq QX$ (unknown) and $\tilde{Y} \triangleq P^{-1}Y$ (known), so that $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$ is equivalent to

$$\tilde{Y} = D\tilde{X}.$$

From this, compute $\tilde{X}_{\rho_{s-1} \times \lambda_0}^{(0)}, \tilde{X}_{\rho_{s-2} \times \lambda_1}^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{X}_{\rho_1 \times \lambda_{s-1}}^{(s-1)}$.

Step 3: Based on $\tilde{X} = QX$, we can show that

$$Y_i = A_i X_i,$$

where

$$Y_i = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(\tilde{X}_{\rho_{s-i-1} \times \lambda_i}^{(i)}) - \varphi\left(\begin{bmatrix} Q_{\rho_{s-i-1} \times n} X_i^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}^{(i)}\right) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times \lambda_i},$$

and

$$A_i = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(Q_{\rho_{s-i-1} \times n}) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{m \times n}.$$

From this, decode successively X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{s-1} . Finally, compute X according to the π -adic decomposition.

CODE FEATURES

► Rate and probability of error

The rate of the code is given by

$$R(\mathcal{C}) = R(\mathcal{C}_0) + R(\mathcal{C}_1) + \dots + R(\mathcal{C}_{s-1}),$$

and the probability of error is upper bounded as

$$P_{\text{err}}(\mathcal{C}) \leq P_{\text{err}}(\mathcal{C}_0) + P_{\text{err}}(\mathcal{C}_1) + \dots + P_{\text{err}}(\mathcal{C}_{s-1}).$$

Thus, \mathcal{C} is capacity-achieving in $\text{MMC}_{\text{CSIR}}(\mathbf{A}, \lambda)$ if each \mathcal{C}_i is capacity-achieving in $\text{MMC}_{\text{CSIR}}(\mathbf{A}_i, \lambda_i)$ (e.g., [3]).

► Complexity

The coding scheme has a polynomial time complexity.

► Universality

Similarly to [3], the complete knowledge of the probability distribution of \mathbf{A} is not needed, but only the knowledge of $E[\rho]$, where $\rho = \text{shape } \mathbf{A}$.

EXTENSIONS

- One-shot to multi-shot.
- CSIR to non-coherent: Prepend headers.

For more details, see [2].

CONCLUSION

Motivated by nested-lattice-based physical-layer network coding, this work has considered communication in multiplicative matrix channels over finite chain rings. As contributions:

- The channel capacity has been determined, generalizing the corresponding result for finite fields.
- A polynomial-time capacity-achieving coding scheme was proposed, combining (through a layered approach) several codes over the residue field to obtain a code over the chain ring.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Feng, D. Silva, and F. R. Kschischang, "An algebraic approach to physical-layer network coding," *To appear in the IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*.
- [2] R. W. Nóbrega, C. Feng, D. Silva, and B. F. Uchôa-Filho, "On multiplicative matrix channels over finite chain rings," in *Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE International Symposium on Network Coding (NetCod'13)*, (Calgary, Alberta), June 2013.
- [3] S. Yang, S.-W. Ho, J. Meng, E.-h. Yang, and R. W. Yeung, "Linear operator channels over finite fields," *Computing Research Repository (CoRR)*, vol. abs/1002.2293, Apr. 2010.
- [4] C. Feng, R. W. Nóbrega, F. R. Kschischang, and D. Silva, "Communication over finite-ring matrix channels," in *Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT'13)*, (Istanbul, Turkey), July 2013.